CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC24622

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Site:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 20-22, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for 1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene. The results were reported under SDG No.: JC24622. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC24622-1	OSGP13-GWD	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24622-2	OSG13-GWS	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24622-2D	OSG13-GWS MSD	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24622-2S	OSG13-GWS MS	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24622-3	OSGP14-GWD	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane (SCAN)
JC24622-3	OSGP14-GWD	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24622-4	BPEB-14	AQ – Equipment Blank	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 9, 2016

321-60-8

1718-51-0

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sam Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: JC A(SV			Received: 0	ved: 07/23/16				
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3P55262.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/26/16	By AN	Prep I 07/25/		Prep Batch OP95827A	Analytical Batch E3P2524	
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 910 ml	ime Final 1.0 m	Volume 1						
CAS No.	Compoun	d	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q		
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthale 1,4-Dioxai		ND ND	0.11 0.11	0.032 0,054	ug/l ug/l			
CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries		Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits					
4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5		74%		24-1	25%				

51%

46%



19-127%

10-119%

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

2-Fluorobiphenyl

Terphenyl-d14

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of I

Client Sam Lab Sampl Matrix: Method: Project:	eID: JC2462 AQ - C SW846	Ground Wate 5 8270D BY		3510C		Date		7/21/16 7/23/16 'a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3P55261.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/26/16	By AN	Prep D 07/25/1		Prep Batch OP95827A	Analytical Batch E3P2524
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 920 ml	Final Vo	lume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane		ND ND	0.11 0.11	0.032 0.053	ug/l ug/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Rec	coveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-c 2-Fluorobipher Terphenyl-d14	nyl	73% 46% 43%		19-1	25% 27% 19%		



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

								6
Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	ole ID: JC2462 AQ - G SW846	round Wate 8270D BY		3 3510C		Date	•	7/21/16 7/23/16 ⁄a
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep I	ate	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P106366.D	1	07/26/16	BP	07/25/	16	OP95827A	EP4702
Run #2	3P55263.D	1	07/26/16	AN	07/25/	16	OP95827A	E3P2524
	Initial Volume	Final Vo	lume					
Run #1	890 ml	1.0 ml						
Run #2	890 ml	1.0 ml						
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3	Naphthalene		ND a	0.11	0.033	ug/l		
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane		74.7	1,1	0.055	ug/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Rec	overies	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d	5	63%	70%	24-1	25%		
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphen	ly	73%	46%		27%		
		•						

38%

10-119%

61%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

Terphenyl-d14

1718-51-0



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

1718-51-0

Terphenyl-d14

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: JC2462 AQ - E SW846	22-4 quipment B 8270D BY	lank SIM SW846 5 Area, PR	3 3510C		Date		7/22/16 7/23/16 /a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3M63452.D	DF 1	Analyzed 08/04/16	By SG	Prep D 07/26/1		Prep Batch OP95821A	Analytical Batch E3M3007
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 950 ml	Final Vol	lume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane		ND ND	0.11 0.11	0.031 0.051	ug/l ug/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Rec	coveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0 321-60-8	Nitrobenzene-c 2-Fluorobipher		59% 70 %			25% 27%		

71%



10-119%

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: JC24622

AMANYWP Anderson, Mulholland & Associates Account:

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sample OP95827A-MS OP95827A-MSD JC24622-2	File ID 3P55259.D 3P55260.D 3P55261.D	DF 1 1	Analyzed 07/26/16 07/26/16 07/26/16	By AN AN AN	Prep Date 07/25/16 07/25/16 07/25/16	Prep Batch OP95827A OP95827A OP95827A	Analytical Batch E3P2524 E3P2524 E3P2524
----------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------	----------------------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SW846 8270D BY SIM

JC24622-1, JC24622-2, JC24622-3

CAS No.	Compound	JC24622-2 ug/l Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	ND ND	1.09 1.09	0.826 0.600	76 55	1.1 1.1	0.824 0.437	75 40	0 31* a	23-140/36 20-160/30
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	JC2	24622-2	Limits				
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	79% 55% 40%	77% 51% 38%	73% 46% 43%	6	24-1259 19-1279 10-1199	%			

(a) Analytical precision exceeds in-house control limits.



^{* =} Outside of Control Limits.

1				,														- 17		-		-			_	
and the last	E P	CCUTEST:		120	_																					
-	HAL	SCUTEST:		600	F	CHA	IN (OF /	CUS	TC	ימנ	Y										P	AGF	= {	C	of 1
1		NJ		W.		2	*14 Pmm	130, 13aysa	No. P							-Ar	18Ph	P599;	345	3	-	De Cloder Co		<u> </u>		
1						TEL, 737	33-329-8200	DINLDOSS DB FAX.7 DW/ADDINES	732 329-3	3100/3 110	#tto				7		-				_	- Control of the St		-		
ě		Client / Reporting Informatio	ton Sign			Proje	ct Inform	-	国				COLE.	DC.	ALC:	1	1 Bar		*	1.0			ゴ(Esheet)	62		
-	Corpany Nar			Project Motes						-	-			24-	-	1 1	No.	APLEO -	482972	1120	1TES1	CODE	: sheet)	+	1000	Matrix Codes
	1	n Multipland & Associates		BMANC PN	Case 7A Rationse	Ancessine	est CASE	- (1)	-	-	-		-	-	4		1-1									OW - Dentering Windows
	2700 Weeks	Michester Avenue, Suits 417	7 2=		10.0		10.0	y islama						All Control	Atta	8270D	1 1							1	7	GW - Grand Water WW - Water SW - Sulface Water
3	Purchase			7 Hamacao		PR	Compa	Arry Marrie		-	<u> </u>	4		_	\neg		1					1		1	1	SO-Sed SL-States
1	Project Contac Terry Tay	taci	E-med	Promot 8		-	Salvan	1 Address				_		_	\dashv		1	. ['	1	SED-Sectioners
1	Philips		Fee 8	Clark Purchase	er Circher 8		Cay				-	_		Zin		Naphtnelene	6				Ì] '	1 7	1 1	LIQ - Other Liquid ARR - Air SCL - Other Balds
- 1	914-251-4 Sample(n) No.		Plara #	Present Management						_			•	Δр	1	1	1	1	-		1		1	1	1	WP - Wood
- 1	1	rs, R. Stuert, R. O'Relly, T. T.	- 1	Torry Taylor		-515-04	1	R.				_	_	_	7	1 8	1		1		-	'	['	1 1	1 1	RS-Rane Mara
4			1	1		Caladja	-	1	$\overline{}$	\sqsubset	=		=	=	1	1	. I					1 '	7	1	1 1	Tils-Trap Etians
*		Field ID / Point of Collection		MECHICI VALVE	-	Times	3	-			18 8	1	1	8	\prod	1,4-Dioxane	4	1] '	1 1	1 1	1 1	
		DSGP 13 -GWD			7-20-16	_	17	+		11	#	#	447	# (2)	-	-	+	-	4	\perp	1	<u>↓</u> '	1	Ш		LAB USE CHLY
	OS	DSGP 13 - GW 5			7-21-16	1230	11	1	+ -	++	4	++	2	+		X	+	+	+	+	4-	 '	\Box			
3	O5 ر	DSGP 13 M 5 - GW 5	5	(7-21-16	1230	11	_	 	+	,++	 2	- 	+		X	+	+	+	+	+-	1	\sqcup	1	1	E35)
	/ 02	SGP 13 M5D-64	WS		7-21-16	1230	77		1	+	+	1 2		+	-	分	+	+	+	+	+-	1	\longleftarrow		4	
}	3 0:	056P11-GWD			7-121-16	1545	_	_		1	+	2	_	+	-	٦	+	+	+	+	+-	1	1	-	-	
1	+			'							T	丁	1	+	1	7	+	+	+-	+	+-	1-1	-	\rightarrow	-	
+	-				Ĺ'	<u> </u>				П	1	1	T	T	丁	1		+	+	+-	+-	1	1	\rightarrow	-	
+	+				 /	 '	1			П		I	П	D	T	T			+_	\vdash	1	1	一	-	1	
1	+		-			 '	1-		<u> </u>	4	1	1	П		4	I	\Box	I	T		1			1	1	
	+-						1		<u>'</u>	+	4	4	++	11	4	1	1	1							T	
7	BPI	PED 14	5 N 15 2		# X216	Brown 28	04	SCENE .	19	ich.	823	20	15		-	व्यक्तर ।	75 S. L.7	====		TE 2007				二		
	7/- 55 T	Turnerand Three (Business Start) 4. 15 Starteness Chapts	p 17	The second second	7.10.15/2/2015	2	5 (43)		Onta (Debum			(2) E	17			rei d	Part Part I	\$ 300m	i ar	全智				5.2500	
		d. 16 Bankwas Days by Contract o	-		and PROp / Street	1		Communicia Communicia				F	HYAL	SP Car	· Vergen	γA	T	****	II	<u> bonn</u>	Military .	Gper	Militar	ONE ED	- Ann	97. A. BEE
	□ 18 D	l Day ML/SH Day ML/SH	-			1	100 m	POLLTI (L	Cornel 344;				HYAS	Turns	-	•	-			—						
	1 Per	Pay Elithouncy	_		_			II.) Reduced Communicati] cop :	Formul			- -		-1NIT?	ALA'	SESS'	MENT	7 3B	, D	سعد	1
	II 19m	Day Employacy	_			- 1	(-	0	Chennelle			dis Only	٧				L					ATION		1	,	
1	E-marqueou & I	A Plumb List main menhation VA Laborat	*	Eav	- Continue on				Commercial NJ Rosbana				_		-				LABI					-		
: 1	The state of	It talal	7-72-16	4 1200	Fed	A be seen	atted bet	OW BOCK	the same	mphag : Mathaga	Cheap Harrier P	<u>jo poe</u> Ac:	-	hors, fract	chettle	4 000	Aer delle	Street, Str.	=			\$30°C	1	27		
1	-	han a	Chain Tipes:		1 FED	FX			—			_	1	X				7/21	116 9	:45	1	E		/	1	
13	Andrew by	ter	Shaire Filtrania		3					4	-1-1-1			_				Carry 91		1	4	/ Black				
5										23	4.2	185	,	0	-	and .	Prese	-	or applicat	de	-	- (10	0	Gooder Tour	- 2.8cm
2				-										7							-		Car	-	_	247.10

JC24622: Chain of Custody

Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

. . . .

JC24622

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

. .

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Six (6) samples were analyzed for Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique; one of the sample was analyzed for 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D in the scan mode. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major: Minor:

None

Caldinal Studios

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except in the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. RPD for 1,4-dioxane outside laboratory control

limits. No action taken, professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 9, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC24622-1

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/20/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/l	1		U	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-2

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/l	1	2	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-3

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (Scan)

Naphthalene	-	ug/l	1	~	-	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	74.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-3

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	- 10	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-4

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/22/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-2MS

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.826	ug/l	1	~		Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.600	ug/l	1	2	_	Yes

Sample ID: JC24622-2MSD

Sample location: BMS-ICM, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.824	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.437	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes

	Project Number:_JC24622
	Shipping Date:July_22,_2016
	EPA Region: 2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE	ORGANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile on validation actions. This document will assist the make more informed decision and in better serving results were assessed according to USEPA data following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous V 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The Quon the data review worksheets are from the primanoted.	eviewer in using professional judgment to go the needs of the data users. The sample ta validation guidance documents in the Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July C criteria and data validation actions listed
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data package received has been ta summarized. The data review for SVOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC24622 No. of Samples:6_SIM/1_SCAN	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:JC24622-4Field duplicate No.:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesX GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
_Overall Comments:_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_an	alyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM)
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected R- Rejected date // /	
UJ- Estimated nondetect	
Reviewer: Kafaul default	
Date:August 9, 2016 /	7.0

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
	1	
		-%

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION
All samples ext preserved excep	racted and an	alyzed within method recon escribed in this document.	nmen	ded holding time. Samples properly
Cooler temperate	ure (Criteria: 4 <u>-</u>	- 2 °C):4.3°C		

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Table 1: Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses					
	Preserved	1	Action		
Matrix		Criteria	Detected	Non-Detected	
J			Associated	Associated	
			Compounds	Compounds	
No ≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)		Use professi	onal judgment		
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment	
Aqueous Yes ≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)		No qualification			
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	1	เกา	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment	
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment	
14011-74queous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification		
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	

Al	criteria were	met _X
Criteria were	not met see	below

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affected:
			

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:07/06/16_(SIM) Instrument ID numbers:GCMS3P Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Date of initial calibration:07/14/16_(SIM) Instrument ID numbers:GCMS3M Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:_07/06/16_(SCAN)_ Instrument ID numbers:GCMSP Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial a	and initi	al calib		ts the method and guidance criteria.	e validation document

Note: Instrument GCMS4M used in the SIM mode for the analysis of QC samples belonging to this data set. QC samples are not validated.

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria		Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect		
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R		
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	IJ		
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R		
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification		
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment		
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification		

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D¹	
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0	
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	±25.0	
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20,0	±20.0	±25.0	
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±50.0	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0	
lexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	±50.0	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
I,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	±50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D¹	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0	
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	±25.0	±25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±50.0	
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0	

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0		
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds						

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d ₈	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d ₅	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d ₈	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d ₁	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d ₄	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d4	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d ₃	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d ₈	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	07/06/16_(SIM)	07/14/16_(SIM)
	(ICV):07/16/16	
Date of continuing calibration verific	cation (CCV):_07/22/16;_07/25/16_	_08/01/16_08/04/16
Date of closing CCV:		-
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS3P	GCMS3M
	Aqueous/low	
Date of initial calibration:	07/11/16_(Scan)	
	(ICV):07/11-12/16	
	cation (CCV):07/26/16	
Date of closing CCV:	<u> </u>	
Instrument ID numbers:		
	Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Instrument GCMS4M used in the SIM mode for the analysis of QC samples belonging to this data set. QC samples are not validated.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action		
	Citter at for Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	1	UJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE Analyzed	LABID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Field/ <u>Equipmen</u>	<u>t</u> /Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana _package	alytes_detected_	in_the_equipm	nent_blankNo_field_b	lanks_analyzed_with_this_data
	<u> </u>			

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥CRQL	Use professional judgment
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method,	≥ CRQL Grossly high	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
		Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
#2	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
			_		

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action			
	Detect	Non-detect		
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R		
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ		
Lower Acceptance limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification		
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification		

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:___Groundwater______

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

_DMCs_meet_the_required_criteria._Non-_deuterated_surrogates_added_to_the_samples_were_____
_within_laboratory_recovery_limits.______

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-ds (DMC-1)	Phenol-ds (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-da
	1	(DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
	<u> </u>	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d ₄ (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
	3-Methylphenol	Flexachlorocyclopentadiene
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	
Nitrobenzene-d ₅ (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d ₄ (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d ₃ (DMC-9)
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene
Hexachloroethane		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene
		*Pentachlorophenol
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆ (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-ds (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-12)
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline
1,1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline
Butylbenzylphthalate		
Bis(2-cthylhexyl) phthalate		
Di-n-octy/phthalate		

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2 (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)			
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	I-lexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene *Anthracene			
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)				
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine				
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene				
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene				
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene				
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene				
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene				
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene				

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAI s and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_X

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region. Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: Sample ID:							/Level:_ /Level:_		oundwater leous
The QC report JC24622-1, JC			owing sa	amples:		Metho	d: SW8 4	16 8270E	BY SIM
Compound Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	JC24622-2 ug/l C ND ND	- 6	MS ug/l 0.826 0.600	MS % 76 55	Spike ug/l 1.1 1.1	MSD ug/l 0.824 0.437	MSD % 75 40	RPD 0 31*a	Limits Rec/RPD 23-140/36 20-160/30
The QC reports JC24622-4	ed here appl	ies to the foll	owing sa	amples:		Metho	d: SW84	6 8270D	BY SIM
Compound Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane (a) Analytical p	ND ND	Q ug/i 2 2	MS ug/l 1.33 1.67	MS % 67 84	Spike ug/l 2 2	MSD ug/l 1.36 1.17	MSD % 68 59	RPD 2 35* a	Limits Rec/RPD 23-140/36 20-160/30

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except in the cases described in this document. RPD for 1,4-dioxane outside laboratory control limits. No action taken, professional judgment.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal area meets the required criteria of batch samples corresponding to this data package.

Action:

- 1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 213.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- 3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 213% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Cineria	Detect	Non-detect	
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R	
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ	
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification	
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification	

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET COM	POUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
Is the Relative RRT [opening calibration].	Retention Times (RRTs) of reported comp Continuing Calibration Verification (CC	ounds within ±0.06 RRT units of the standard CV) or mid-point standard from the initial Yes? or No?
List compound	s not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum from	the associated calibration standard (openst match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spending to the sample spectrum. The relative intensities of these ions must sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an the corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard mass spectrum.	ectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% agree within ±20% between the standard and abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum,
List compounds	s not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
_ldentified_com	npounds_meet_the_required_criteria	

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
			=======================================
Solve I Solve I			

Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- 3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action			
Cincia	Detects	Non-detects		
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification		

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		40
		100
	Spin	
	6	
- 4		
1000		

	All criteria were met Criteria were not met and/or see belowN/A
FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION	
Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed as part of this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within the required guidance document criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes above 5 SQL.					
target analytes ab	OVE 3 OCIL	••			

Action:

			All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHER	RISSUES		
A.	System Perfo	ormance	
List sar	mples qualified	based on the degradation of system	performance during simple analysis:
Sample	e ID	Comments	Actions
Action:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
during	sample analy	ment to qualify the data if it is determ ses. Inform the Contract Laboratory performance which significantly affect	nined that system performance has degraded Program COR any action as a result of cted the data.
B.	Overall Asses	sment of Data	
List san	nples qualified	based on other issues:	
Sample	ID	Comments	Actions
_No_oti _for_de	her_issues_thaticission_purpor	at_required_the_need_to_qualify_the_sesOther_discrepancies_are_shown	_dataResults_are_valid_and_can_be_used n_below
Note:			

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL.
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results